



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/787,970	09/26/2001		Horst Lindholfer	080575-00000	1046
20350	7590	06/07/2004		EXAM	INER
TOWNSEN	ID AND	TOWNSEND AN	HELMS, LARRY RONALD		
TWO EMBA		RO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				1642	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/787,970	LINDHOLFER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Larry R. Helms	1642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	<u>_</u>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/787,970

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Prior to setting forth the restriction requirement, it is noted that the claims recite the term "use". This is non-statutory and the claims will be interpreted to be a method of treatment of cancer.
- 2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

To have a general inventive concept under PCT rule 13.1, the inventions need to be linked by a special technical feature. The special technical feature recited in claim 1 is a method of treatment of cancer by administration of tumor cells treated to prevent survival and the administration of a bi or tri-specific antibody in a time staggered manner. In view of this Kikuchi et al (US Patent 5,837,248, 11/98) in view of Lindhofer et al (Annual meeting international society for experimental hematology XX, XX, 1997, 25:879, abstract 527) reads on the claim. Kikuchi et al teach addition of radiated treated tumor cells added to a patient to induce an immune response and inactivated cells have the possibility of re-growth and this is a problem (see column 1-2) and in view of Lindhofer et al who teaches bispecific antibodies (which bind t-cells and tumor antigens) to remove contaminating tumor cells in cell populations and recruit Fc positive

Application/Control Number: 09/787,970

Art Unit: 1642

cells it would have been obvious to combine the two treatments to enhance tumor killing. One would have combined the teachings because one would want to eliminate any non-irradiated tumor cells when administered and the method of Lindhofer et al would remove the untreated cells to prevent re-growth after administration of the tumor cells. Therefore the technical feature recited in claim 1 is not special. Accordingly the groups are not so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: antibodies that bind CD2

Species B: antibodies that bind CD3

Species C: antibodies that bind CD4

Species D: antibodies that bind CD5

Species E: antibodies that bind CD6

Species F: antibodies that bind CD8

Species G: antibodies that bind CD28

Species H: antibodies that bind CD4

Application/Control Number: 09/787,970

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 8 and 11 recite the species

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-7, 9, 10, 12-14, 16-23.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: In view of the art of Kiluchi et la and Lindhofer et al the technical feature of claim 1 is not special. As such the species are distinct because each lack the same structure and each bind to a distinct antigen and art on one species would not necessarily be at on the other species.

Art Unit: 1642

- 3. Because these species are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different searches in the patent literature, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry R. Helms, Ph.D, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-0841.
- 6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center telephone number is (703) 308-4242.

Respectfully,

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/787,970

Art Unit: 1642

Larry R. Helms Ph.D.

571-272-0832

LARRYR. HELMS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER